

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/001,497	11/14/2001	Leola Henry	PIL0123/US	3217	
33072	7590 06/16/2006		EXAM	INER	
KAGAN BINDER, PLLC			TRAN LIEN, THUY		
SUITE 200, MAPLE ISLAND BUILDING 221 MAIN STREET NORTH		DING	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
STILLWATER, MN 55082			1761	1761	
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/001,497	HENRY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Lien T. Tran	1761	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 30 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. Me The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): \_\_\_ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-17. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the argument is not persuasive for reason of record. Additionally, applicant argues there is not motivation to take a biscuit product out of its ordinary environment and place it is in a different environment.. This argument is not persuasive because the dough disclosed by Hansen et al is not intended for just one type of dough product. The dough is used to make different type of dough product including non-laminated dough product. The making of biscuit product is well known in the art as shown by the cookbook. As to the configuration of the biscuit swirl, dough products come in many different shapes, form, kind. One would be motivated to place a filling with a biscuit product because biscuit is typically consumed with filling. Applicant argues if one were to follow the teaching of Hahn, one would have placed the filling on top of the biscuit. This argument is not persuasive because the placement of filling in a dough product varies and Hahn teaches placing of filling between adjacent dough layers. Dough products having filling adjacent dough layers are well known; some typical examples include cinnamon rolls, danish, pecan roll, etc.. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to place filling adjacent dough layers including biscuit dough if such appearance is wanted in the product. Varying the look of dough product is routinely done in this technology. For example, there are many different types, forms, shapes, sizes to cookie, cake, roll etc., products. Applicant argues forming larger sized is a challenge as evident as the disclosure of Hansen on column 3. Hansen et al discuss the expanding characteristic of small and larger size product. There is no discussion of how the difficulty of forming larger size product. Changing the size of the product would have been within the skill of one in the art. It is not uncommon to find the same baked product in many different sizes. There are bagels that come in bite-size and there are bagels that come in much larger sizes. .